

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/636,021	PALSULICH ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1792	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Affirmed in part by BPAI

(1) MAHMOUD DAHIMENE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) CHEN LIANG. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 March 2010

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10, 20, 28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called attorney Chen Liang on 3/2/2010 to request amendments to claims 10, 20 and 28 which rejections have been reversed by BPAI. The attorney proposed amendments to the claims on 3/4/2010.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)